We study photon echo generation in disordered media with the help of multiple scattering theory based on diagrammatic approach and numerical simulations. We show that a strong correlation exists between the driving fields at the origin of the echo and the echo beam. Opening the way to a better understanding of nonlinear wave propagation in complex materials, this work supports recent experimental results with applications to the measurement of the optical dipole lifetime $T_2$ in powders.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wave scattering in disordered media has attracted considerable attention for decades. First undertaken within the general scope of the multiple scattering theory, in close connection with quantum mechanics [1–3], investigations later focused on classical waves and optical processes, revealing features such as the backscattering peak [4,5] or random lasing (see Ref. [6] and references therein). Wave propagation in complex media can also be combined with nonlinear optics [7,8]. In the specific framework of four-wave mixing (FWM), it was recognized quite early that coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS) can take place in polycrystalline and opaque media [9]. The observation of wave localization, whether reduced, enhanced, or simply tested by nonlinear processes, definitely opens new perspectives [10–14].

The temporal dimension is generally absent from these works. Indeed, the scattered light emerges from the sample in close synchrony with the incoming field, either because one operates far from the absorption lines, or because the lifetime of the material resonances does not exceed the driving pulse duration, such as in CARS. The signature of the investigated signal is obtained either in the angular scattering pattern or in the emission spectrum, the latter applying to nondegenerate wave-mixing processes.

Instead, we now consider a nonlinear signal that emerges from the sample long after the extinction of the driving pulses. That time-domain discrimination may prove helpful in situations where neither the direction nor the wavelength would enable us to select the relevant scattered emission. This time-delayed signal is produced by photon echo [15,16], a nonlinear process that belongs to the same four-wave mixing (FWM) class as CARS [17]. Photon echo results from the resonant excitation of an absorbing line. The available time delay is only limited by the optical dipole lifetime $T_2$ and may outdo the driving pulse duration by orders of magnitude.

Routinely used for $T_2$ measurement, a photon echo experiment is usually performed in samples of high optical quality. However, there is considerable practical interest to substitute a cheap and easily produced rough powder to a high-quality monocrystal since interesting chemical solids are often difficult to crystallize [18]. Such a simplified access to $T_2$ may expedite new compound selection in the prospect of classical and quantum processing [19–22].

The experimental observation of photon echo in rare-earth–doped polycrystalline powders at liquid helium temperature [23], and the successful demonstration of new compound testing [24], call for a better understanding of the scattered signal generation in such unusual conditions. In these studies, the echo is efficiently detected by heterodyne mixing with a replica of one driving field. Hence, quite unexpectedly, two distinct fields are able to preserve a strong correlation after erratic propagation through a disordered material although the corresponding speckle patterns look very different. The origin of such a disturbing and nonintuitive feature must be clarified. The present paper extends the well-established linear multiple scattering theory to the nonlinear, photon echo process. Special attention is paid to explaining the strong correlation of the echo with the driving field.

The manuscript is organized as follows: in Sec. II we summarize the main characteristics of photon echoes. In Sec. III we consider the case of a strongly disordered powder and we derive a physical model to take into account photon echoes in such a material. In Sec. IV theoretical expressions for the average driving fields and intensities are obtained. The theory is then expanded for the echo signal (average field, average intensity, and correlation with a driving field) in Sec. V. Then we compare the analytical results with numerical simulations in Sec. VI before concluding in Sec. VII.

II. PHOTON ECHO FEATURES

Photon echo [15,16] refers to the time-delayed nonlinear coherent optical response to resonant excitation by a specific sequence of light pulses.

In absorbing materials, $T_2$, the optical dipole lifetime, may be much larger than the inverse absorption bandwidth. Indeed, that bandwidth may reflect the Doppler shift, in gases, or a nonuniform transition frequency shift, caused by interaction with the environment, in condensed matter, rather than the
homogeneous linewidth. This quasistatic effect is known as inhomogeneous broadening. When resonantly excited by a light pulse much shorter than $T_2$, the optical dipole radiates a free-induction decay (FID) signal. However this emission rapidly fades out because of inhomogeneous phase shift, although optical dipoles keep on oscillating in the medium. The photon echo process, closely related to spin echo in nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), is used to cancel the inhomogeneous phase shift and to recover a radiative signature of the surviving dipoles.

Let us focus on stimulated echoes [25], generated by a sequence of three successive pulses that resonantly excite an ensemble of two-level atoms. The pulses are labeled 1, 2, and 3, according to their time order. By reducing the level population difference, resonant excitation partially bleaches the material over the pulse bandwidth. However, bleaching caused by time-separated pulses is not uniform over the excitation bandwidth. In the same way as, in the space domain, two angled beams can imprint a diffraction grating on a photographic plate, a pair of time-separated pulses spectrally modulates the level population difference. Hence, pulses 1 and 2, separated by time interval $t_{12}$, modulate the bleaching with period $1/t_{12}$. Then, in the same way as a spatial grating deflects a probe beam at an angle determined by the inverse ridge spacing, the spectral grating delays the response to pulse 3, acting as a probe. The response delay equals $t_{12}$, the inverse period of the bleaching spectral modulation.

To express an oscillating dipole in terms of the driving pulses, let us define the positive and negative frequency components of the $i$-labeled driving field $E_i(r,t)$, centered at time $t_i$, as

$$E_i(r,t) = \frac{1}{2}[\omega_i(r,t) \exp(i\omega_L t) + \text{c.c.}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[\delta_i(r,t - t_i) + \text{c.c.}],$$

where $\omega_L$ represents the pulse central frequency. Interaction with an optical dipole is characterized by the Rabi frequency,

$$\Omega_i(r,t) = \frac{\mu_{ab}\delta_i(r,t)}{\hbar},$$

where $\mu_{ab}$ stands for the transition dipole moment. We also need the time-to-frequency Fourier transform of the Rabi frequency,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_i(r,\omega) = \int \Omega_i(r,t) \exp[-i\omega t]dt.$$  (4)

This quantity is a dimensionless number and $[\tilde{\Omega}_i(r,\omega_L)]$ represents the pulse area. Since, according to Eq. (1), $\Omega_i(r,t)$ is centered at $t = 0$, $\tilde{\Omega}_i(r,\omega)$ is a slowly varying function of $\omega$ over the pulse bandwidth.

In the weak-field limit, when $[\tilde{\Omega}_i^2(r,\omega_L)] \ll 1$, the dipole $d(r,\omega_{ab},t)$, oscillating at position $r$ at frequency $\omega_{ab}$, can be expressed to lowest order in the three driving fields as [17]

$$d(r,\omega_{ab},t) = \frac{i\mu_{ab}}{4} \exp \left[ -\frac{t - t_3 + t_{12}}{T_2} - \frac{t_{23}}{T_1} \right]$$

$$\times \{ \tilde{\Omega}_1^2(r,\omega_{ab})\tilde{\Omega}_2^2(r,\omega_{ab})\tilde{\Omega}_3^2(r,\omega_{ab})$$

$$\times \exp[i\omega_{ab}(t - t_3 - t_{12})] - \text{c.c.} \},$$  (5)

where $T_1$ represents the upper level lifetime. At time $t = t_3 + t_{12}$ all the dipoles, irrespective of the transition frequency value, are phased back together since $\omega_{ab}(t - t_3 - t_{12})$ vanishes, which results in the photon echo emission. Since the oscillating dipole at $r$ is expressed in terms of the fields at the same position, with no additional space dependence, this description applies not only to transparent, high-optical-quality media, but also to scattering materials.

Similar expressions describe the various optical four-wave mixing processes. An important difference deserves to be noticed, which is the absence of contribution proportional to $\tilde{\Omega}_1(r,\omega_{ab})\tilde{\Omega}_2^2(r,\omega_{ab})\tilde{\Omega}_3^2(r,\omega_{ab})$. The extinction of this term is not related to any spatial phase-matching condition but instead reflects causality [17].

### III. PHYSICAL MODEL FOR PHOTON ECHOES IN DISORDERED MATERIALS

#### A. Structure of the medium

The polycrystalline powder in which photon echo has been observed [23] can be sketched as an ensemble of contiguous, disorderly distributed, microscopic grains that contain the active echo-generating material [Fig. 1(a)]. Successive reflection and refraction processes at the grain walls result in the observed multiple scattering effect.

Such a disordered succession of index steps is difficult to model. Instead, we propose a much simpler scheme that preserves the two leading features; namely, echo generation and multiple scattering. We replace the original structure by (1) an echo-generating continuous and homogeneous active medium, with (2) randomly embedded point scatterers. This model is illustrated in Fig. 1(b). One can switch from the disordered sample to the corresponding homogeneous slab by just removing the scatterers. This offers an easy way to compare the signals in these two situations.

Moreover, to achieve large optical thickness numerically, we consider a two dimensional system and scalar waves (i.e.,
the electric field is oriented along the translational invariant direction $\gamma$) embedded in a slab geometry with size $L$ along $z$ and infinite along $x$ as shown in Fig. 1. Translational invariance along $y$ results in substituting the point scatterers with $N_s$ rectilinear, infinitely long, thin rods, randomly placed inside the system at positions $r_j$. With transverse size much smaller than the optical wavelength, the rods are assumed to behave as isotropic scatterers. In addition, light is scattered elastically, without absorption in the rods. This simplified model does not permit a quantitative comparison with the experiment of Ref. [23] but contains all physical ingredients (scattering and echo production) required to give physical insights into the existence of the strong correlation between the driving fields and the echo beam.

B. Coupled wave equations

Let $E^{(1,2,3)}(r,\omega)$ denote the three driving field spectral amplitudes at position $r$ and frequency $\omega$. One also defines the exciting field $E^{(1,2,3)}_{exc}(r_i,\omega)$ at rod position $r_i$. The latter corresponds to the field illuminating the scatterer and is obtained by subtracting the scatterer emission from the total field. The wave equation reads [3]

$$E^{(1,2,3)}_{exc}(r,\omega) = E_0(r,\omega) + k_0^2 \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} G_0(r-r_j,\omega),$$

where $k_0 = \omega/c$ is the wave vector in vacuum, $\alpha$ represents the scatterer polarizability, and $G_0$ is the free space Green’s function, connecting the field $E$ at any position inside the system to an electric-dipole point source $p$ lying at position $r_0$ by

$$E(r,\omega) = \mu_0 \omega^2 G_0(r-r_0,\omega)p.$$  

In a two-dimensional (2D) scalar problem, $G_0$ is given by the isotropic function

$$G_0(r-r_0,\omega) = \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(k_0|r-r_0|),$$

where $H_0^{(1)}$ denotes the Hankel function of first kind and zeroth order.

In elastic, isotropic, scattering conditions, energy conservation leads to

$$k_0 \Im \alpha = \frac{k_0^3}{4} |\alpha|^2,$$

where the left-hand and right-hand sides respectively represent the extinction and scattering cross sections [1–3]. Therefore, the cross section cannot exceed $4/k_0$, which corresponds to

$$\alpha = \alpha_{\text{max}} = \frac{4i}{k_0^2}.$$  

For the sake of simplicity, one derives the three driving fields from identical incident fields, denoted as $E_0$, and given by plane waves at normal incidence,

$$E_0(r,\omega) = E_0 \exp[ik_0 z].$$

Once the exciting fields are known, the electric driving fields can be calculated at any position inside or outside the medium thanks to the relation

$$E^{(1,2,3)}_{exc}(r,\omega) = E_0(r,\omega) + k_0^2 \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} G_0(r-r_j,\omega) \times E^{(1,2,3)}_{exc}(r_j,\omega).$$

The echo is created by active atoms placed inside the host medium. In the previous section, we established that the source of the echo beam is given by $\tilde{\Omega}_1 E^{(1)}_{exc}(r_0,\omega)\tilde{\Omega}_2 E^{(2)}_{exc}(r_0,\omega)\tilde{\Omega}_3(r,\omega)$. Thus, the electric field of the echo signal can be cast in the form

$$E^{(4)}_{exc}(r,\omega) = k_0^2 \chi \int G_0(|r_r-r',\omega)|E^{(1)}_{exc}(r',\omega)$$

$$\times E^{(2)}_{exc}(r',\omega) E^{(3)}_{exc}(r',\omega) \mathrm{d}r' + k_0^2 \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} G_0(r-r_j,\omega) E^{(4)}_{exc}(r_j,\omega),$$

where $\chi$ is a constant describing the coupling between the driving fields and the echo beam. Exactly as for the driving fields, the electric field of the echo at any position can be deduced from the relation

$$E^{(4)}_{exc}(r,\omega) = k_0^2 \chi \int G_0(r-r',\omega)|E^{(1)}_{exc}(r',\omega)$$

$$\times E^{(2)}_{exc}(r',\omega) E^{(3)}_{exc}(r',\omega) \mathrm{d}r' + k_0^2 \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} G_0(r-r_j,\omega) E^{(4)}_{exc}(r_j,\omega).$$

This set of equations is overall reminiscent of previous descriptions of nonlinearities in complex systems [7,13,26,27], and similarities with these works will be found all along the following theory.

For a given spatial distribution of the $N_s$ scatterers, referred to as a configuration, one has to solve the $N_s$-linear-equation-set represented by Eq. (6). Then, with the help of Eq. (12), one can calculate the source term in Eq. (13), which leads to the $N_s$ values of the exciting echo field $E^{(4)}_{exc}(r_j,\omega)$. Finally, substitution of $E^{(4)}_{exc}(r_j,\omega)$ into Eq. (14) determines the echo field anywhere, inside or outside the sample. The large size of the system linear equations can be handled only through numerical computation.

C. Configurational average

The available experimental data are generally insufficient to define a specific configuration. Conversely, the detailed field structure, as provided by the numerical solution, often exceeds the detector spatial, angular, or temporal resolution. Therefore, the experimentally accessible data, averaged over space and angle (in a rigid sample), or time (in a fluid), are expected to coincide with statistical averages over all possible disordered configurations. Of course, averaging washes out fine details, such as the speckle pattern of a fluctuating intensity emerging from a disordered medium.

One can approach the statistical average numerically, by averaging the solutions over a set of different configurations. More interestingly, in contrast with the single configuration
problem, statistical average is accessible analytically. As will become clear in the following, the analytical solution not only saves computation time, but also brings physical insight into the observable quantities.

In the next two sections we shall adapt the available tools to echo generation in disordered media. The numerical solution, discussed in Sec. VI, will serve to validate the analytical procedure.

IV. MULTIPLE SCATTERING THEORY FOR THE DRIVING FIELDS

In this section, we derive the average amplitude and intensity of the driving fields, as well as another quantity called the ladder operator, in order to get the necessary building blocks to obtain the echo signal. Since this is a textbook formalism, we only summarize the key steps. The interested reader may refer to Refs. [28,29] to find more details.

A. Average field

Let us first compute the average field. For that purpose, we combine Eqs. (6) and (12) to obtain a cluster expansion of the driving fields. Omitting the exponents (1,2,3) and the frequency $\omega$ related to the driving fields for the sake of simplicity, we get [30]

$$E(r) = E_0(r) + k_0^2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} G_0(r - r_i)E_0(r_i)$$

$$+ k_0^2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} G_0(r - r_i)k_0^2\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} G_0(r_i - r_j) \times E_0(r_j) + \cdots. \quad (15)$$

Averaging Eq. (15) over the configurations of the disorder leads to a closed and exact equation called the Dyson equation [31,32]. In the following, the discussion is restricted to the independent scattering approximation (ISA), where all the scattering events along a scattering sequence are statistically independent. The ISA is valid in a dilute medium, and the corresponding condition will be elucidated soon. In this limit, the Dyson equation reads

$$\langle E(r) \rangle = E_0(r) + \rho_s k_0^2\alpha \int G_0(r - r') \langle E(r') \rangle dr', \quad (16)$$

where the brackets $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denote the statistical average and $\rho_s$ is the density of scatterers. The formal iterative solution of Eq. (16) leads to

$$\langle E(r) \rangle = E_0(r) + \rho_s k_0^2\alpha \int \langle G(r - r') \rangle E_0(r') dr', \quad (17)$$

where

$$\langle G(r - r_0) \rangle = G_0(r - r_0) + \rho_s k_0^2\alpha \times \int G_0(r - r') \langle G(r' - r_0) \rangle dr'. \quad (18)$$

Hence, Eq. (17) expresses $\langle E(r) \rangle$ in terms of $E_0$ and of the average Green’s function $\langle G \rangle$, which can be obtained by solving Eq. (18). Actually, Eq. (18) is the Dyson equation for the average Green’s function $\langle G(r - r_0) \rangle$, the average field radiated at position $r$ by a point source, located at $r_0$.

To solve Eq. (18) we assume a bulk geometry, ignoring the finite size of the actual slab. In this framework, Fourier transforming Eq. (18) leads to:

$$\langle G(k) \rangle = G_0(k) + G_0(k)\rho_s k_0^2\alpha \langle G(k) \rangle. \quad (19)$$

Substituting the Fourier transform of the free-space Green’s function

$$G_0(k) = (k^2 - k_0^2)^{-1} \quad (20)$$

into Eq. (19), we readily get

$$\langle G(k) \rangle = (k^2 - k_{\text{eff}}^2)^{-1}. \quad (21)$$

where

$$k_{\text{eff}} = k_0\sqrt{1 + \rho_s\alpha}. \quad (22)$$

Except for the substitution of $k_0$ with $k_{\text{eff}}, G_0(k)$ and $\langle G(k) \rangle$ are expressed in the same way. As a consequence, inverse Fourier transform of Eq. (21) leads to

$$\langle G(r - r_0) \rangle = \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(k_{\text{eff}}|r - r_0|). \quad (23)$$

which can be compared with the vacuum counterpart given by Eq. (8). The average field propagates in an effective system with an effective permittivity, the imaginary part of which describes the attenuation due to scattering (loss by scattering). Indeed,

$$k_{\text{eff}} \sim k_0 + \frac{i}{2\ell}, \quad (24)$$

where the scattering mean-free path $\ell$ (average distance between two consecutive scattering events) is given by

$$1/\ell = \rho_s k_0 \text{Im} \alpha. \quad (25)$$

At this stage we are able to explicitly give the ISA condition in a dilute system as $k_0\ell \gg 1$.

In the slab geometry, under illumination by a plane wave $E_0(z)$ at normal incidence to the interfaces, Eq. (17) reduces to

$$\langle E(z) \rangle = E_0(z) + (k_{\text{eff}}^2 - k_0^2) \int_0^L \langle G(z - z') \rangle E_0(z') dz'. \quad (26)$$

where

$$\langle G(z) \rangle = \frac{i}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_0^{(1)}(k_{\text{eff}}\sqrt{x^2 + \bar{x}^2}) dx \quad (27)$$

is the one-dimensional (1D) average Green’s function. Substituting $E_0(z)$ given by Eq. (11) into Eq. (26), one readily obtains:

$$\langle E(z) \rangle = E_0 \exp [i k_{\text{eff}} z] \quad (29)$$

in the ISA conditions.

The corresponding intensity (often called ballistic or coherent intensity) is given by

$$I_0(z) = |\langle E(z) \rangle|^2 = I_0 \exp \left(-\frac{z}{\ell}\right). \quad (30)$$
From this, one can define the optical thickness as the ratio $b = L/\ell$, in terms of which the relative power, ballistically transmitted by the system, can be expressed as $T_b = \exp(-b)$.

It is usual in the multiple scattering theory to have a simple representation of iterative equations in terms of diagrams. For Eq. (18), it reads

$$\langle G(r - r_0) \rangle = \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\circ \\
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\circ \\
\end{array} + \cdots \quad (31)$$

where circles and solid lines denote scattering events and free-space Green’s functions $G_0$, respectively.

B. Average intensity

The same work can be carried out to compute the average intensity. The field correlation $\langle E(r)E^*(\rho) \rangle$, coinciding with the average intensity when $r = \rho$, is driven by the Bethe–Salpeter equation [33,34], which, in the dilute-system approximation, reduces to

$$\langle I(r) \rangle = |\langle E(r) \rangle|^2 + \frac{4k_0}{\ell} \int |\langle G(r - r') \rangle|^2 \langle I(r') \rangle dr'. \quad (32)$$

The iterative solution to that equation can be expanded as a series of diagrams:

$$\langle I(r) \rangle = \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\circ \\
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\circ \\
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\circ \\
\end{array} + \cdots \quad (33)$$

where the upper (lower) line corresponds to the field (its conjugate) respectively. Thick solid lines correspond to the average Green’s functions and thick dashed lines denote average fields. The circles represent the scattering events, which are joined by vertical lines since they occur at the same position, in the same order, for both fields. The resulting characteristic shape is known as a ladder diagram.

Not only does that diagram expansion represent a convenient mathematical tool, but it also conveys a physical picture for the averaged intensity propagation through a disordered medium. Indeed, as illustrated by this diagram, statistical average washes out most of the contributions to $\langle I \rangle$ at position $r$—those affected by the erratic spatial phase factors that build up when $E$ and $E^*$ follow different paths, and strongly depend on the path details. Only survive the scattering sequences where both fields $E$ and $E^*$ follow the same path, with the same scatterers located at the same positions. As will soon become clear, that drastic selection results in speckle-structure erasure. Averaging over a spatial region, with volume larger than $\lambda^3$, for a given and fixed scatterer distribution, is expected to achieve the same scattering path selection as statistical averaging over scatterer distributions.

With the help of the ballistic intensity defined in Eq. (30), one readily casts Eq. (32) in the form

$$I_D(r) - \frac{4k_0}{\ell} \int |\langle G(r - r') \rangle|^2 I_D(r') dr' = \frac{4k_0}{\ell} \int |\langle G(r - r') \rangle|^2 I_B(r') dr', \quad (34)$$

where $I_D(r) = \langle I(r) \rangle - I_B(r)$ represents the diffuse intensity. Deep inside the medium, at distances $\gg \ell$ from the interfaces, $|\langle G(r - r') \rangle|^2$ is given by Eq. (23). In this region, one may simplify the left-hand side of Eq. (34), observing that the spatial frequency spectrum of $I_D(r)$ is much narrower than that of $|\langle G(r - r') \rangle|^2$. Hence one may replace the latter function Fourier transform by its second-order Taylor expansion, which leads to

$$I_D(r) - \frac{4k_0}{\ell} \int |\langle G(r - r') \rangle|^2 I_D(r') dr' = -\frac{\ell^2}{2} \Delta I_D(r). \quad (35)$$

The right-hand side in Eq. (34), operating as a source term, vanishes far from the interfaces, in the region where Eq. (23) is valid. Hence, according to Eq. (35), Eq. (34) reduces to $\Delta I_D(r) = 0$, which conveys no information on $I_D(r)$ buildup from ballistic intensity. Closer to the input interface, the source term no longer vanishes but the bulk approximation, ignoring the finite size of the slab, no longer applies. However, numerical simulations appear to be consistent with Eq. (34), provided the right-hand side of this equation is replaced with $I_B(r)$.

The resulting diffusion equation [29], now considered to be valid throughout the medium, reads

$$-\frac{\ell^2}{2} \Delta I_D(r) = I_B(r). \quad (36)$$

That equation is complemented by two boundary conditions, assessing the absence of incoming diffuse intensity through both interfaces:

$$I_D(z = 0) - z_0 \frac{\partial I_D(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$I_D(z = L) + z_0 \frac{\partial I_D(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=L} = 0, \quad (38)$$

where $z_0$, the so-called extrapolation length [35], is on the order of $\ell$. Let $F(z)$ represent any solution of the equation

$$\frac{\ell^2}{2} F''(z) = I_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{z}{\ell} \right]. \quad (39)$$

Then, the solution of the diffusion equation, consistent with the boundary conditions, reads

$$I_D(z) = \frac{(z + z_0)F(L) + (L + z_0 - z)F(0)}{L + 2z_0} + \frac{z_0(z + z_0)F'(L) - z_0(L + z_0 - z)F'(0)}{L + 2z_0} - F(z). \quad (40)$$

Finally, the solution of Eq. (36) reads

$$I_D(z) = 2I_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{z_0}{\ell} (L + z_0 - z) - \exp \left( -\frac{z}{\ell} \right) \right]. \quad (41)$$
We have taken the average intensity. Called the ladder operator, this function for the average field, we may define a Green’s function \[ I_B = \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \frac{\ell}{b} \langle I_b \rangle. \] We may notice the very fast decay of the factor \( z_0/\ell \) in this equation makes \( I_D(z) \) sensitive to \( z_0 \) at any depth in the medium.

The variations of \( I_B \) and \( I_D \) with \( z \) are plotted in Fig. 2. We have taken \( z_0 = \pi \ell/4 \), a standard value for a 2D problem [29]. We may notice the very fast decay of \( ID \) on a typical length given by \( \ell \), and the slower decay of \( ID \). This plot represents a stationary state, where the sample, continuously fed by the incident plane wave, re-emits all that energy through the interfaces. Although Eq. (41) is valid only within the slab boundaries, the spatial intensity distribution, as represented in Fig. 2, suggests that most of the incoming flux is scattered in the backward direction through the input interface.

According to Eqs. (30) and (41), the average intensity reads

\[
\langle I(z) \rangle = I_0 \left[ 2 \left( 1 + \frac{z_0}{\ell} \right) \frac{L + z_0 - z}{L + 2z_0} - \exp\left( -\frac{z}{\ell} \right) \right].
\]

**C. Ladder operator**

In the same way as we have defined the average Green’s function for the average field, we may define a Green’s function for the average intensity. Called the ladder operator, this quantity can be represented by the diagram

\[
L(r, r_0) = + \int \cdots + \int \cdots + \int \cdots
\]

which analytically gives

\[
L(r, r_0) = \frac{4k_0}{\ell} g(r - r_0) + \frac{4k_0}{\ell} \int |\langle G(r - r') \rangle|^2 L(r', r_0) dr'.
\]

In large systems \( (L \gg \ell) \), Eq. (44) reduces to

\[
-\frac{\ell^2}{2} \Delta L(r, r_0) = \frac{4k_0}{\ell} \delta(r - r_0).
\]

Expressed in terms of \( |\langle G(r - r') \rangle|^2 \), just as Eq. (32), the ladder operator does not help to handle source terms such as \( I_B(r) \), which are strongly confined to the close vicinity of the interfaces. However, as will be seen soon, it proves helpful to deal with slowly varying sources terms, spreading all over the medium.

**V. MULTIPLE SCATTERING THEORY FOR THE ECHO SIGNAL**

This section is the original part of the study. We intend to analytically derive the statistical average of the echo field and intensity and to express the echo field correlation with one of the driving fields. According to Ref. [23], the amplitude of this correlation can be large, even in the multiple scattering regime. The following derivation will help to understand the origin of this strong correlation.

**A. Average echo field**

Along the lines of the above-summarized multiple scattering theory, we have to identify the most important diagrams in the context of photon echo physics. Let us focus first on the average echo field. According to Eqs. (13) and (14), the echo signal is created at any position in the host medium and is given by \( E^{(1w)}(r' \ell_0) E^{(2)}(r' \ell_0) E^{(3)}(r' \ell_0) \). To construct the average echo field, we let the average intensity and the average field merge at \( r' \). The resulting signal propagation from \( r' \) to \( r \) is carried out by the average Green’s function. That scheme is represented by the following diagram structure:

\[
\langle E^{(4)}(r) \rangle = \ldots
\]

where the square represents echo generation; the middle line corresponds to \( E^{(1w)} \); the upper and lower lines may respectively refer to \( E^{(2)} \) and \( E^{(3)} \), or to \( E^{(2)} \) and \( E^{(3)} \). All the significant contributions to \( \langle E^{(4)}(r) \rangle \) share the same structure, with different numbers of scattering events on each branch. Due to the possible permutation of \( E^{(2)} \) and \( E^{(3)} \), each diagram should be counted twice.

Finally, in quite the same way as the incident average field [see Eq. (26)], \( \langle E^{(4)}(r) \rangle \) can be expressed analytically as follows:

\[
\langle E^{(4)}(z) \rangle = 2k_0^2 \chi \int_0^L \langle G(z - z') \rangle \langle I(z') \rangle |E(z')| dz',
\]

still in a dilute system with \( k_0 \ell \gg 1 \). In the large optical thickness limit, with \( b \gg 1 \), the integral upper bound can be changed into \( \hat{z} \), without significant deviations except at the very beginning of the slab \( (z < \ell) \). Using Eqs. (29) and (42), we finally get

\[
\langle E^{(4)}(z) \rangle = i k_0 \ell \chi E_0 I_0 \exp \left[ ik_0 \ell \hat{z} \right] \times \left\{ \frac{2}{\ell} \left( 1 + \frac{z_0}{\ell} \right) \frac{L + z_0 - z^2/2}{L + 2z_0} + \exp \left[ -\frac{z}{\ell} \right] - 1 \right\}.
\]
In terms of intensity, this gives

\[ I_{B}^{(4)}(z) = \left| \langle E^{(4)}(z) \rangle \right|^2. \]  

(49)

These quantities can be compared with their equivalents in a homogeneous slab with the same active atom concentration. To deprive the slab from all the scattering centers, we just replace the average Green’s function, intensity and field in Eq. (47) by their counterparts for a homogeneous medium, which gives:

\[ E_{\text{hom}}^{(4)}(z) = k_{0}^{2} \chi \int_{0}^{L} G_{0}(z-z')I_{0}(z')E_{0}(z')dz'. \]  

(50)

Provided \( k_{0}z \gg 1 \), the corresponding homogeneous echo field and intensity reduce to

\[ E_{\text{hom}}^{(4)}(z) = \frac{i k_{0} \chi E_{0} I_{0} z}{2} \exp[i k_{0} z], \]  

(51)

\[ I_{\text{hom}}^{(4)}(z) = \frac{k_{0}^{2} \chi^{2} I_{0}^{2} z^{2}}{4}. \]  

(52)

It should be pointed out that the bulk-geometry approximation we have been using, imposing the large optical depth condition \( L \gg \ell \), forbids any continuous transition from a disordered to a homogeneous medium, for example by continuously increasing the scattering mean-free path \( \ell \).

In Fig. 3, we have plotted \( I_{B}^{(4)}(z) \) and \( I_{\text{hom}}^{(4)}(z) \), both normalized to \( I_{\text{hom}}^{(4)}(L) \), the echo intensity at the exit interface of a homogeneous slab. Close to the input, \( I_{B}^{(4)}(z) \) and \( I_{\text{hom}}^{(4)}(z) \) exhibit the same parabolic variation with \( z \), which is the signature of the spatial coherence of echo buildup. In that region, the much faster growth of echo intensity in the disordered medium reflects the incident energy confinement near the input side of the slab. However, while the signal intensity grows quadratically in the homogeneous slab, a maximum is reached at \( z \simeq 2\ell \) in the disordered medium, followed by a fast decrease with \( z \). Scattering affects both the echo generation and propagation. On the one hand, the driving field \( \langle E(z) \rangle \) drops with \( z \), reducing contributions to the signal deeper into the slab. On the other hand, the ballistic component of the echo is attenuated as it propagates through the medium, feeding its diffuse part.

### B. Correlation of photon echoes with driving fields

We now present a key stage in our investigation; namely, the calculation of the correlation function

\[ C_{D}^{(4)}(r) = \langle E(r) E^{(4)\ast}(r) \rangle, \]  

(53)

meaning the correlation of one driving field with the photon echo signal. This quantity is central in this work, first because it has been accessed experimentally [23], second because its observed large amplitude represents a counterintuitive result. Indeed, the driving fields and the nonlinear signal are expected to develop very different distorted wavefronts as they travel through the disordered medium, which should hamper any correlation buildup.

One easily disposes of the ballistic component \( C_{D}^{(4)}(z) = \langle E(z) \rangle \langle E^{(4)\ast}(z) \rangle \), with the help of Eqs. (29) and (48). This contribution dies out at short distance from the input interface. Expressing the diffuse component \( C_{D}^{(4)}(z) = C^{(4)}(z) - C_{D}^{(4)}(z) \) is more challenging. Proceeding along the lines of the calculation of \( I_{D}(z) \), we only retain the diagrams where the two participant fields follow the same sequence, undergoing scattering events in the same order at the same positions. More precisely, the propagation path is first followed by two incoming fields, one acting as a reference, the other as a driving field. They travel together up to an interaction point where the driving fields disappear, giving birth to an echo. From that point on, the echo and the reference field progress side by side along the same path. At each interaction point, the material response radiates in all directions, but all these contributions are expected to be accounted for by summation over the different paths.

In the resulting diagram

\[ C_{D}^{(4)}(r) = \]  

(54)

both propagations up to \( r'' \) and \( \rho \) are described by the average intensity \( \langle I \rangle \), while the side-by-side progression of the echo and the reference fields from \( r'' \) to \( r' \) is conveyed by the ladder operator \( L \). The box from \( r'' \) to \( r'' \), which contains the conversion of the driving fields into the echo, is given by

\[ K(r'',r''') = k_{0}^{2} \chi \int \langle G(r'' - r''') \rangle \times \langle G^{\ast}(r'' - \rho) \rangle \langle I(\rho) \rangle \langle G^{\ast}(\rho - r''') \rangle d\rho. \]  

(55)

Putting all the pieces together, one gets

\[ C_{D}^{(4)}(r) = \frac{8k_{0}}{\ell} \int \langle |G(r - r')|^{2} L(r',r'')K(r'',r''') \rangle \times \langle I(r''') \rangle dr'dr''dr'''. \]  

(56)
where a factor of two takes into account the driving field permutation.

The origin of the correlation strength is all contained in the diagram (54), and can be realized already, without further calculation. Actually, the correlation buildup appears to be exactly as selective as the diffuse intensity propagation scheme, discussed in Sec. IV B. In both cases one may neglect all the contributions containing a spatial phase shift, only keeping the single-path diagrams. Hence both the diffuse correlation and the diffuse intensity survive in the same way, traveling along the same paths through the disordered medium.

According to Eq. (42), the average intensity varies slowly in a large system (i.e., \( b \gg 1 \)), deep inside the medium (i.e., \( z \gg \ell \)). The same statement can be formulated for the ladder. As the average Green’s function scales typically with the scattering mean-free path \( \ell \), \( \langle I(\rho) \rangle \) and \( L(\rho, \rho′) \) can be replaced by \( \langle I(\rho′) \rangle \) and \( L(\rho, \rho″) \), respectively, in the above integrals. Moreover, using the well-known identity [29]

\[
\frac{\ell}{k_0} \text{Im}(G(r - r′)) = \int \langle G(r - r′) \rangle \langle G'(r′ - r″) \rangle dr′
\]

for \( r″ = r \), we finally obtain

\[
C^{(4)}_D(r) = 2\mathcal{K} \int L(r, r″) \langle I(r″) \rangle^2 dr″,
\]

with

\[
\mathcal{K} = \frac{k_0 \ell}{2\pi} \int \text{Im} \left[ \langle G(\rho) \rangle \langle G'(\rho) \rangle \right] d\rho.
\]

Making use again of Eq. (57), we can simplify \( \mathcal{K} \) into

\[
\mathcal{K} = k_0 \ell \chi \int \text{Im} \left[ \langle G(\rho) \rangle \langle G'(\rho) \rangle \right] d\rho,
\]

which, for a dilute medium, reduces to

\[
\mathcal{K} = \frac{k_0 \ell \chi}{2\pi} \int \left| \langle G(0) \rangle \right|^2 d\rho = \frac{\ell^2 \chi}{8i}.
\]

Applying the Laplace operator to Eq. (58), and making use of Eq. (45), one finally obtains

\[
-\frac{\ell^2}{2} \Delta C^{(4)}_D(r) = -ik_0 \ell \chi \langle I(\rho) \rangle^2.
\]

This equation is the main result from the analytical theory. It takes a similar form as Eq. (36) obtained above for the diffuse intensity. However, in sharp contrast to Eq. (36), the source term in Eq. (63) has significant values at any depth in the medium, which entails a twofold consequence. First, since the correlation buildup is not localized near the slab input, the bulk approximation made for the diffusion equation is justified. There is no need to try to extrapolate this equation outside its region of validity. Second, the continuous feeding of \( C^{(4)}_D(r) \) by \( \langle I(\rho) \rangle^2 \) strongly contributes to enhance the correlation, all along the progression through the medium.

Provided Eq. (63) is complemented with the boundary conditions [see Eq. (37)] previously used to solve Eq. (36), the solution \( C^{(4)}_D(z) \) is also given by Eq. (40), where \( F(z) \) now represents any solution of

\[
F″(z) = -\frac{2i}{\ell} k_0 \chi (I(z))^2.
\]

We may compare the correlation in a disordered medium with the corresponding quantity in a homogeneous slab. The latter reads

\[
C^{(4)}_{\text{hom}}(z) = E_{\text{hom}}(z) I^{(4)\text{hom}}(z) = \frac{\chi L_0^2}{4} \left[ -2ik_0z - 1 + \exp[-2ik_0(L - z)] \right],
\]

which, for depths larger than \( \lambda = 2\pi/k_0 \), becomes

\[
C^{(4)}_{\text{hom}}(z) = \frac{i k_0 L_0^2 z}{2} = i \sqrt{L_0} I^{(4)\text{hom}}(z).
\]

According to Fig. 4 where we have displayed the variations of \( |C^{(4)}_D(z)|/|C^{(4)}_{\text{hom}}(L)| \) with \( z \), the strength of \( |C^{(4)}_D(z)| \) largely exceeds that of \( |C^{(4)}_{\text{hom}}(L)| \) at any depth.

Normalizing with \( \langle I(\rho) \rangle^4 \), where \( \langle I(\rho) \rangle \) stands for the echo average intensity, helps to reveal the correlation strength. Indeed, as a consequence of the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, the variation range of \( |C^{(4)}_D(z)|/|\langle I(\rho) \rangle^4\rangle^{1/2} \) is limited to interval \([0,1] \), where the upper bound is reached when the echo is fully correlated with the reference field. To obtain the normalized correlation, we calculate the echo average intensity in the next section.

### C. Average intensity of echo and normalized correlation

As for the incoming intensity and the calculation of the correlation function, we expand the echo average intensity into a ballistic and a diffuse part as follows:

\[
\langle I^{(4)}(r) \rangle = I^{(4)}_0(r) + I^{(4)}_D(r).
\]
The ballistac part is given by Eq. (49) and the diffuse part reads diagrammatically as

\[ I_D^{(4)}(r) = \text{...} \]

We follow the same procedure as for the echo correlation function \( C_D^{(4)} \). According to the diagram, the source term for the echo diffuse intensity reads as the correlation multiplied by the average intensity. This leads to the following diffusion equation governing the evolution of \( I_D^{(4)} \):

\[
-\frac{\ell^2}{2} \Delta I_D^{(4)}(r) = 2k_0\ell \text{Re}[i \chi L C^{(4)}(r)] \langle I(r) \rangle.
\]

Again, the source term in this diffusion equation is delocalized over the whole sample, thus leading to a different behavior for \( I_D^{(4)} \) compared with \( I_D \) (or for \( I_I^{(4)} \) compared with \( I_I \)) even if both quantities have significant values for all depths inside the slab, as illustrated in Figs. 5 and 2.

We note that \( (I_I^{(4)}) \) is much larger than \( I_{\text{hom}}^{(4)} \). Two arguments can be put forward as an explanation: (1) In a random-walk picture, the paths followed by photons inside the disordered medium can be much longer than the slab thickness. Indeed, the average path length is of the order of \( (s) = 2L^2/\ell \) in a thick \( (b \gg 1) \) and dilute \( (k_0\ell \gg 1) \) scattering medium while it is \( s_{\text{hom}} = L \) for a homogeneous slab. (2) In a disordered medium, the driving fields have larger values than in a homogeneous material thanks to light confinement by scattering. This is visible in Fig. 2 where a maximum average intensity on the order of 2.5\( I_0 \) is reached.

Finally, Fig. 6 shows the dramatic increase of the normalized correlation \( |C(z)|/[\langle I(z)\rangle \langle I^{(4)}(z)\rangle]^{1/2} \) with the penetration depth, up to \( \approx 0.8 \) at the slab exit.

**VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

The analytical expressions are expected to be consistent with the statistically averaged solutions of the coupled wave equations (see Sec. III B). We must resort to numerical computation to obtain these solutions, in order to validate the analytical approach.

Solving Eq. (6) represents the most challenging task. Indeed, to solve this set of \( N_i \) equations, one has to inverse a large \( N_i \times N_i \) matrix, the actual size of which is imposed by the large depth \( (L \gg \ell) \) and slab geometry (infinite transverse extension) assumptions. To minimize \( N_i \), we reduce the slab transverse dimension to \( D = 4L \), expected to be a good trade-off, limiting finite-size effects while maintaining a reasonable computing time. To satisfy the diffusive-regime, large-depth condition we set \( L/\ell = 10 \). Hence \( N_i = DL\rho_s = 400\ell_0^2 \rho_s \). According to Eq. (25), for a given value of \( \ell \), the scatterer density \( \rho_s \) is minimized when \( \text{Im} \alpha \) is maximized. As already pointed out in Sec. III B [see Eq. (10)], the maximum value of \( \text{Im} \alpha \), compatible with energy conservation, is \( 4/\ell_0^2 \), which leads to \( \ell^2 \rho_s = k_0\ell/4 \). Finally, to satisfy the dilute medium condition \( k_0\ell \gg 1 \), we set \( k_0\ell = 40 \), which leads to \( N_i = 4000 \).
Since the echo signal (13) and the driving field (6) only differ from each other through the source term, they are both solved by the same inverse matrix. In the echo signal equations we have to discretize the integral of the source term. As we perform statistics (i.e., computation of average fields, intensities and correlations), we have chosen to treat the active region as a collection of \( N_a \) randomly placed active atoms at positions \( \rho_j \). This leads to

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa_0^2 X \int G_0(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') & E^{(1)*} (\mathbf{r}') E^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}') E^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}' \\
\sim & \kappa_0^2 X S_d \sum_{j=1}^{N_a} G_0(\mathbf{r} - \rho_j) E^{(1)*} (\rho_j) E^{(2)}(\rho_j) E^{(3)}(\rho_j),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( S_d = LD/N_a \) is the surface of one active atom. The statistical observables are not sensitive to the number of active regions, \( N_a \), even if very small (i.e., continuum not reached). In practice, we have used \( N_a = 4000 \).

Having obtained the expression of the exciting echo field on each scatterer, we use Eq. (14) to compute the echo field at any position in or outside the system.

Repeating the same procedure for a large set of randomly drawn configurations, we are in position to evaluate statistical quantities such as the average echo field, the correlation of the echo with a driving field, and the average echo intensity.

In the slab geometry, under plane-wave illumination at normal incidence, statistical quantities are invariant by translation along the transverse direction \( x \). To spare computation time, while taking care of finite-size effects, we combine average over \( N_{\text{conf}} = 200\,000 \) configurations with limited range integration over \( x \).

### A. Average field of the echo

As observed in Fig. 3, the analytical and the numerical approaches consistently describe the echo ballistic intensity variation with the depth inside the slab, although the analytical expression is derived under the diffusion approximation, valid only at large depths (i.e., \( z \gg \ell \)).

### B. Correlation of photon echoes with the driving fields

Figure 4 gives the evolution of the correlation of the echo field with one of the driving fields as a function of the depth inside the slab. Again a good agreement is clearly obtained between the analytical calculation and the numerical model. Nevertheless, the analytical result is not fully quantitative. Two potential effects have been identified to explain this discrepancy. First the validity of the diffusion approximation can be questioned. In the linear regime, the agreement between the diffusion equation theory and the coupled-dipole simulation is very good, as shown in Fig. 2 even for small depths. However, when nonlinearities are present, there is potentially an accumulation of errors because of the recursion in the diffusion model provided by Eqs. (36) and (63). For small and intermediate depths, the radiative transfer equation (RTE) could be a good candidate for a refined model valid at all depth [36]. However, the main drawback is that analytical results do not exist for the RTE in a slab geometry. Second and potentially more important, the signal is very sensitive to the boundaries in the presence of nonlinear effects. This has been checked numerically by changing the transverse size \( D \) of the pseudo-slab geometry and the results show that converged results are hard to obtain.

### C. Average intensity of the echo

Regarding the average intensity of the echo signal, the numerical results are presented in Fig. 5. Although qualitative agreement is preserved (confirming that the analytical theory captures the main physical mechanisms), a larger discrepancy is found between the theory and the simulations than for the correlation. The reasons are the same: finite transverse-size effects in presence of nonlinearity and validity of the diffusion approximation.

### VII. CONCLUSION

We have presented a theoretical study of photon echo generation in disordered scattering media. Developed in terms of Feynman–Dyson diagrams, the multiple scattering statistical approach has been validated by \textit{ab initio} numerical simulations.

According to previous experiments [23], the driving fields and the echo beam stay strongly correlated as they propagate through the disordered medium. The theory has confirmed this paradoxical feature and provided some physical insight. In the buildup of any two-field observable, such as diffuse intensity or diffuse correlation, the same dominant diagrams emerge: those that make both fields follow a common path through the disordered medium. This single propagation scheme explains the similar size of those different quantities, and the large size of the normalized correlation.

Another noticeable result is the strong enhancement of the echo by the disordered medium, in comparison with echo emission in the corresponding homogeneous material with the same concentration of active atoms. This might open the way to applications in energy conversion.

The present work has been confined to signal investigation inside the disordered material. To be consistent with experimental conditions, we should consider signal collection outside the material, on a large aperture detector. This issue is deferred to a future work. Encouraged by the present promising results, we also plan to refine the analysis in such directions as that of the RTE, with the help of Monte Carlo simulations.
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